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As workers, we’ve earned our network of benefits, and we must fight to keep them. National safety net programs, public pensions and other benefits are under attack. These attacks on Social Security, Medicare, employee health benefits, and pensions have led the Professional Staff Congress/CUNY (PSC) to organize a campaign in defense of the social safety net.

Without a social safety net—a set of federal, state and local programs, legislated and contractual, intended to provide protection against economic calamity—anyone facing old age, infirmity, the unexpected misfortune of unemployment, disability or the death of a wage earner, runs the risk of being unable to fend for herself.

Defending the social safety net is critical not only to PSC retirees dependent on Social Security, Medicare and their pensions now, but especially to younger, active members of the Professional Staff Congress, so they will be able to enjoy life’s pleasures after long years of hard work. Just as important, the future security of the next generations depends on our actions to defend the social safety net today.

The Social Safety Net

Social insurance, of which Social Security is the foundation in the United States, encompasses broad-based programs that insure workers and their families against economic insecurity caused by loss of income from work and the cost of health care. (Figure 1) It includes Social Security, Medicare, Medicaid, workers’ compensation, and unemployment insurance, related public assistance and private employee benefits.
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With minor adjustments, the Social Security Trust Fund will provide old age and disability insurance for all American workers now employed, as well as economic security for dependents of deceased workers (dependent spouses must be at least 60). Social Security did not cause and is unrelated to the federal deficit. This self-sustaining program is funded by payments from the Social Security Trust Fund and does not use general tax revenues to pay benefits. It works for most people, but same-sex couples and their families do not receive spousal and dependent benefits.

Social Security is not an “entitlement” program but a social compact between generations (current workers paying the benefits of current retirees) and an insurance policy that grants economic protection from birth to death. Throughout our working lives, employees and employers pay a “premium” for this comprehensive family insurance (equivalent to a policy worth $500,000) through Social Security taxes (FICA) on weekly earnings. When a working person dies or becomes disabled, his or her dependents receive substantial monthly benefits. At retirement, workers collect Social Security benefits for the rest of their lives. Of the 53 million people currently receiving Social Security benefits, 36 million are retirees and their spouses, 8 million are workers with disabilities, 4 million are children who have lost a parent, and 5 million are widows and widowers. (Figure 2)

American workers who still have jobs face declining real wages and diminished benefits, where they have benefits at all. Tens of millions more are unemployed or underemployed, and over 45 million lack health insurance. Political decisions—how money is spent and who ante up—drive current assaults on Social Security, public sector pensions and healthcare. The issue is not lack of resources. After billions spent for wars
in Afghanistan and Iraq, for the bailout of Wall Street and for slashing taxes on the wealthy, the Right claims there is a fiscal crisis. Their agenda punishes working families with cuts in basic services, decimates public-sector unions and undermines the well-being of future generations. Public-sector workers are now being blamed for a mess caused by private-sector interests that still have not been held to account and are amassing even greater fortunes than before the crisis. Ironically, the very people often blamed are among the most victimized by the economic crisis, having seen savings and the value of homes and other retirement assets vastly diminished.

American workers must engage in national, state and city budget fights, as well as local contract campaigns, to defend the social safety net. It may feel like a daunting task, but the PSC Social Safety Net Working Group’s approach is to work incrementally, member-to-member. We intend to

- educate active and retired PSC members, especially younger, active members, about social safety net programs;
- dispel misinformation that undermines support for the social safety net;
- mobilize ourselves and work in coalitions to defend the social safety net.

**Social Security Under Attack**

Contrary to a massive and dishonest campaign to undermine it, Social Security is doing well. Attacks are motivated by anti-tax, pro-privatization, anti-safety net ideologies. In fact, the Social Security Trust Fund had a $2.6 trillion surplus in 2010 and can pay all currently promised benefits until 2038. The Trust Fund invests in U.S. government bonds, backed by the full faith and credit of the government. Among the proposals offered to “fix Social Security” are some that will enhance it and some that will greatly weaken it.

**Ways to enhance the Social Security Trust Fund**

The financing of Social Security is in good shape but could be made even stronger by implementing the following:

- Lift the cap on FICA wages: Levy the FICA payroll tax on annual wages above $106,800 (the current cap), and extend the life of the Trust Fund to 2085 and beyond.
- Dedicate the estate tax to Social Security: Reinstate the estate tax to the 2009 level and dedicate the increased revenue to Social Security to generate almost as much money as raising the retirement age to 70.
- Increase the FICA tax rate by 1% (0.5% for employers, 0.5% for employees): An increased rate, even if implemented gradually (0.1% every 5 years), would ensure the long-term solvency of Social Security.

**Destructive proposals to “fix” Social Security**

Several proposals would effectively cut benefits for the most elderly and most vulnerable, and they make no economic sense. These “fixes” add up to four assaults:

- Raising the retirement age would result in a substantial benefit cut for future retirees and would disproportionately affect lower-income, disadvantaged populations with lower life expectancies.
- Reducing the annual Cost-of-Living Adjustment (COLA) would, according to the Congressional Budget Office, “save” (reduce benefits by) $108 billion over 10 years. The annual, automatic COLA, averaging about 3% per year for most of the last 25 years, protects against an inflation-related erosion of benefits. As retirees
Price indexing, inaccurately called “progressive” price indexing, is a related strategy that would disconnect the benefit formula from wages and connect it to prices. Linking benefits to prices is likely to understate the expenses most retirees face.

Means-testing would change Social Security into a welfare program based on need, and would exclude higher income earners, without producing significant savings. By undermining the broad political base for Social Security, means testing threatens the future of a program that is now an earned and paid-for right of all workers.

Pensions Under Pressure

Amid the attacks on public-sector workers and our families, nothing stands out more starkly than the attempt to undo pension benefits, prospective and current. All public pension plans have suffered from the collapse of the financial markets in 2008-2009, and some are indeed in crisis, notably those in California and New Jersey where huge “unfunded liabilities” resulted from decisions by governors not to meet their obligations to make contributions into the plans. Also, some public pension trustees made risky investments. Such is not the case in New York where both NY State Comptroller DiNapoli and NY City Comptroller Liu report that public pension systems are well-funded.

Even in the mid-19th century, long before public police officers, firefighters and school teachers had the right to bargain collectively, cities and states supplemented wages with the promise of pensions upon retirement. In modern times, pensions continue to be provided as part of overall compensation promised in good faith by public employers. Pensions are part of public employers’ total compensation package, and a part to which the public employees themselves, in almost all cases, also contribute. States have different laws, rules and guarantees concerning public pensions. New York law covers state and local employee pensions. The state constitution protects current employees’ pension rights concerning eligibility and benefit formulas, but the Legislature and the Governor can amend the laws as to prospective employees.

During Fiscal Year 2010, employer contributions to NYC Teachers Retirement System (TRS) totaled $2.6 billion, and employee contributions totaled $138 million. Total net assets—held in trust to pay pension benefits—were $26.4 billion as of 6/30/10, a decline of 29% from assets’ peak value in 2007. Both the State and City Comptrollers reported healthy returns for Fiscal Year 2011—an average rate of return of 14.6% for State pension funds in Fiscal Year 2011 and over 20% through 5/11 for City pension funds.

At CUNY, both employees and the University make contributions to pension accrual. Full-time PSC members are eligible for and must choose one of two types of pension plans—a defined-benefit or a defined contribution plan (Figure 3). Part-timers can join CUNY’s defined-benefit plan, the New York City Teachers Retirement System (TRS). A “defined-benefit plan” such as TRS guarantees a certain benefit level on retirement, based on years of service and earnings. (For example, the average annual retirement allowance for NYC TRS retirees [which also include NYC K-12 teachers] as of 6/30/10 was $43,381.)
A “defined contribution plan” (such as CUNY’s Optional Retirement Plan, primarily TIAA-CREF) pays a retirement benefit that is a function of the amount contributed and the investment earnings on that amount, which can leave the individual pensioner’s benefit at the mercy of the financial markets and dependent on one’s capacity to save a substantial amount consistently for retirement. (CUNY employees also have the option to contribute voluntarily to a 403(b) plan in addition to either type of pension plan.)

In New York, Mayor Bloomberg and Governors Paterson and Cuomo have spent the last few years asserting the need to change public pensions. A new Tier 5 pension plan was enacted for most new State employees (but not CUNY employees) in 2009. Now Cuomo and Bloomberg are pressing for a Tier 6, claiming that it will save money. The changes they want to make would not affect State and City pension obligations for the first 10 years. NYC Comptroller Liu has studied City pension obligations and concluded that while there is a short-term funding issue, within less than 10 years there will not be a problem, since changes already legislated will reduce the City’s obligations.
The PSC has fought against new tiers that diminish the pension benefits of new generations of faculty and staff; the union will continue to do so with even greater urgency in this period of unrelenting attacks on the social safety net.

**Health Care – A Right Not a Privilege**

Like retirement security, physical well-being should not depend on ability to pay. The profits and costs of the private, insurance-based health care system are rising faster than almost any other sector of the economy. Therefore, health insurance has become an increasingly expensive element of compensation, and workers often have to choose between increased wages and paying a larger share of health care costs. Medicare and Medicaid, the public health insurance programs for the elderly and the poor, are both under-funded and burdened by
rapidly rising costs, like the rest of the health care system. Unless healthcare is adequately funded and costs contained, the system will collapse for all but the very rich.

The PSC has endorsed “single-payer” health care. A single-payer health care system would collect contributions for coverage—whether through employers or taxes—and then pay for all services through the government or a government-related provider. A single-payer health care system would cover everyone and, through economies of scale, control costs and allow for consumer choice.

Until the passage of national health care reform legislation in 2010, no mandate existed requiring employers to provide health benefits to employees, but New York City employees have received health benefits since shortly after World War II. Later on, after unionization of most City employees, eligible retired city workers started receiving health insurance. The Municipal Labor Committee (MLC)—a coalition of all NYC municipal employee unions—and the City’s Office of Labor Relations (OLR) negotiate over the range of insurance plans and benefits offered, deductibles, co-payments, etc. As the health insurance plan benefit costs rise, the City seeks to pass more and more of the cost increases on to active and retired City employees. Full-time PSC members have a range of health insurance options from which to choose, some contributory and some not, administered through the NYC Employee Health Benefits Program. Under the PSC collective bargaining agreement with CUNY, the PSC/CUNY Welfare Fund has administered health insurance benefits for eligible adjuncts since the mid-1980s. CUNY has long resisted union demands to put adjunct health coverage on a more sustainable footing, and it has underfunded adjunct health insurance for years. That benefit now faces a crisis. In September 2011, the PSC launched an urgent campaign to save adjunct health insurance; see psc-cuny.org for details.

For PSC members who retire and who meet the eligibility threshold, health insurance coverage continues until age 65, when City health insurance begins to supplement Medicare. Retiree health benefits are negotiated between the MLC and the City.

The PSC-CUNY Welfare Fund provides supplemental benefits, ranging from prescription drugs to dental care to optical benefits and more. Funding is provided by CUNY on a per capita basis for full-time employees and
retirees and is bargained by the PSC and CUNY under guidelines negotiated by the MLC and OLR. For part-time faculty health insurance, CUNY’s funding currently includes an inadequate flat fee, but the PSC’s campaign on adjunct health care is pressing CUNY for a structural change to ensure coverage for all adjuncts who qualify.

**Medicare under Assault**

Medicare is currently under political attack as too costly. The basic insurance plan is not the problem; the problem is the addition of often-needed benefits not funded through employer/employee contributions has put pressure on the federal expense budget as health care and pharmaceutical costs have risen in recent years.

Medicare is a national health insurance plan enacted in 1965 as an extension of Social Security. It covers up to 80% of hospital, doctor and outpatient costs for persons 65 and older. Part A, which works fairly well, covers medically necessary hospital, skilled nursing facility, home health and hospice care and is paid for by employee and employer contributions through payroll deductions. These benefits are free if you’ve worked and paid Social Security taxes for 10 years. On the other hand, Medicare Parts B, C and D are funded from the annual federal budget plus subscriber fees paid by enrollees. For public employees in NYC, as a result of negotiations between the MLC and OLR, the City now reimburses eligible full-time retirees for their Medicare Part B premium payments (covering doctor’s visits and outpatient care) for themselves and their spouses. Part D is the new Medicare prescription drug plan legislated during the second Bush administration to provide catastrophic drug coverage for retirees. For full-time PSC retirees, the Welfare Fund provides their primary drug coverage, and the Fund receives subsidies through Part D to help pay for it. Part C is not a separate benefit; it permits (and in some cases over-subsidizes) private health insurance companies (through HMOs and PPOs) to provide Medicare benefits and was part of a Bush administration effort to privatize Medicare.

Recent federal health care reform legislation imposes a variety of changes on Medicare. Nevertheless, costs still outstrip income, and, as yet, there are no clear indications of what effects the policy changes will have on health care consumers or health care costs.

**Medicaid at Risk**

Medicaid—health insurance for the poor—is jointly paid for by the federal government and the states. The federal government sets basic requirements and guidelines, and the states implement them and pay for any supplementary benefits they provide. Retirees and the elderly depend on Medicaid as well as Medicare when their assets and income fall below specified limits. Historically, NY State has been creative in stimulating cost-effective health care for the elderly, such as Medicaid support for home care. Home care is less expensive than institutionalization and many elderly patients prefer to live at home if they are able. With pressures at both the national and state levels to reduce the rate of increase in Medicaid spending by government, quality of care will be of increasing concern.

PSC members—active and retired—must join the movement to contain health care costs and maintain benefits. We must join coalitions to pressure legislatures to enact patient-friendly regulation of the health care industry and tax increases to generate sufficient revenue, as well as seriously consider a single-payer system. We must resist Mayor Bloomberg’s and Governor Cuomo’s persistent pressure to reduce retiree health benefits and diminish pensions, for us and for those who come after us.
Other Strands of the Social Safety Net Unraveling

Two state-based safety net programs that are woefully underfunded also need legislative attention: workers’ compensation and unemployment insurance. The NY State workers’ compensation program provides disability benefits to workers injured on the job and is funded by employer contributions. Economies of scale make state management of this benefit much more cost-effective than buying individual insurance polices; a state program also ensures equal standards and benefits for all workers across New York. Unemployment insurance (UI) was established as part of the Social Security Act of 1935, which required states to establish programs and provided federal assistance to state-sponsored plans.

At a time when working people need access to both programs, benefits have been reduced or are at risk because of so-called “cost-containment” measures put in place by elected officials in Albany. In New York’s workers’ compensation program, for example, Governor Spitzer capped the duration of partial disability benefits and used the money to reduce employer premiums. Actions that other states have taken include increasing eligibility standards and reducing the duration of benefits for workers’ compensation, as well as changing qualification and requalification requirements for unemployment insurance, in spite of federal minimum standards. States have also diverted funds allocated to these benefits to close state budget gaps.

Conclusion and Call To Action

United—and militant—we stand. Divided—and passive—we fall.

A group of 12 members of Congress (six Democrats and six Republicans) has been appointed to negotiate $1.5 trillion in deficit reduction measures over 10 years. Dubbed the “Super Committee” by pundits, the Joint Select Committee on Deficit Reduction is meeting behind closed doors. Its report is due to Congress on December 2, 2011. Even as you read this, cuts are being proposed and bills are being printed. We must fight back against cuts that could increase economic insecurity and reduce access to health care for millions of Americans.

We must also be ready to act quickly in support of good policy recommendations.

In October 2011, the Congressional Progressive Caucus (CPC) sent the Joint Committee a series of proposals for generating savings and revenues to address reduction of the deficit as well as job creation. The Caucus’ explicit goal is to “put Americans back to work and protect Medicare, Medicaid and Social Security.”

Key recommendations by the CPC that can save over $7 trillion dollars over the next decade:

- saving $1.6 trillion by responsibly ending the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan;
- creating a federal millionaires’ tax to generate $872.5 million;
- allowing Medicare to negotiate drug prices with pharmaceutical companies and save $157.9 billion;
- allowing the Bush-era tax cuts to expire and scrapping irresponsible estate tax changes, worth $3.95 trillion.

The Social Safety Net programs described in this brochure are aspects of American society to be proud of. They express the social relations of cooperation and solidarity that any decent people would want to promote and even improve: care for one another, especially seniors, the disabled, the young, the sick. These are our loved ones we are talking about: family, friends, neighbors, co-workers. We are a people who collectively
build and sustain a society and its institutions: family, school, hospital, workplace, community, government. We are not just a haphazard mix of isolated individuals.

We are at a crossroads. What kind of people will we be? Generous, cooperative, caring, just? Or mean-spirited, dog-eat-dog, narrow minded, greedy? The PSC chooses life, freedom, equality, community, solidarity.

These ideals will not be realized in our social relations and policies without action—organized, militant action. We are launching a campaign to defend and improve the social safety net by political action:

- Engaging in legislative and electoral work;
- Organizing PSC members, and then their networks of family, friends, and neighbors for engaged involvement;
- Presenting conferences and events, and using electronic and print media to educate and mobilize the public;
- Working with allies in other unions and community organizations;
- Initiating public demonstrations, including direct action.

This social safety net is ours. We worked for it, we contributed to it, we negotiated for it. We will not let the very few, the very rich, the very powerful (and those whom they have fooled) destroy it. Despite the economic crisis, this is still a country of great resources and wealth, enough for wars, bailouts, subsidies and tax breaks for the rich.

Sign up as an active member of the PSC Social Safety Net Campaign. (Visit psc-cuny.org/social-safety-net for contact information.) Receive information on political developments, participate in meetings, events, and actions. Contribute your ideas and energy. Join with your co-workers, union sisters and brothers, and community members to protect and enhance the programs we are proud of, and that make our lives better.

**Online Resources**

**Research and Information**

Center for Economic and Policy Research—cepr.net

Economic Policy Institute—epi.org

Fiscal Policy Institute—fiscalpolicy.org

National Academy of Social Insurance—nasi.org

New York City Comptroller—comptroller.nyc.gov

New York State Comptroller—osc.state.ny.us

Teachers’ Retirement System (TRS) of the City of New York—trsnyc.org

TIAA-CREF—tiaa-cref.org
Advocacy
American Association of University professors (AAUP)—
aaup.org/AAUP/involved/lobby
American Federation of Teachers—aft.org/getinvolved
Alliance for Retired Americans—retiredamericans.org
National Committee to Preserve Social Security and Medicare—ncpssm.org
National Education Association—
www.nea.org/home/IssuesAndAction.html
New York State United Teachers—nysut.org
Professional Staff Congress/CUNY—psc-cuny.org
Strengthen Social Security/Social Security Works—
strengthensocialsecurity.org